

The Pinal County Board of Supervisors convened at 9:45 A.M. this date in the Board of Supervisors Hearing Room, Administration Building A, Florence, Arizona. The meeting was called to order by Chairman Snider and followed by the Pledge of Allegiance and Invocation.

Present: Chairman David Snider; Supervisor Pete Rios; Supervisor Bryan Martyn; County Manager, Terry Doolittle; Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, Chris Roll; Deputy Clerk of the Board, Heidi Cole and Clerk of the Board, Sheri Cluff.

Purchasing Report - Awarding of Bids - County Purchases.

Sharon Seekins, Purchasing Manager presented the purchasing report to the Board.

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT AWARDS:

1. 0506-026 – Specialty Legal Services - Recommend Julie Lemmon be added to the contract for a term of one (1) base year with one (1) one year option periods to run concurrently with terms of the original contract.

CONTRACT RENEWALS:

1. 07-27-13 – Public Fiduciary Computerized Electronic System – Recommend approval of modification #M01 to RFP 07-27-13 to exercise the first option renewal to Panoramic Software, Inc.

CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS FOR SIGNATURE:

1. 0506-026 – Specialty Legal Services – Recommend approval of modification #M01 to RFQ 0506-026 to increase hourly rates for Gust Rosenfeld P.L.C.

Contracts signed by County Manager or Chief Financial Officer:

The following procurements were signed under the authority granted by Resolution 021506-CM that gave authority to execute and sign contracts on behalf of the county without prior Board approval in amounts not to exceed \$250,000.00:

REQ. #	AMOUNT	VENDOR	ITEM	DEPT.
1. N/A	N/A	Caroline Brown	*Birthday Party	Fairgrounds
2. N/A	N/A	Connie Martinez	*Quincenera	Fairgrounds
3. N/A	N/A	Teresa Cruz	*Quincenera	Fairgrounds

- The above activities are revenue generating rentals of the Fairgrounds facilities for private party events.

Motion was made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to approve the purchasing report as presented. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

CONSENT ITEMS:

Chairman Snider advised that all items indicated by an asterisk (*) would be handled by a single vote as part of the consent agenda, unless a Board Member, County Manager, or member of the public objected at the time the agenda item was called.

Chairman Snider asked if there were any requests from a Board member, staff or the audience to remove a consent agenda item for discussion. There were none.

Motion was made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to approve consent items "A" through "R": * A. Minutes of June 17, 2009; * B. Current Billings: **Expense of 06/25/09**:General Fund: Ck #93138410-93138473-\$365362.27;Sheriff/Drug Smuggling: Ck #93138474-\$776.28;Sheriff/Inmate

Services: Ck #93138475-\$979.80;Jp/Enhancement-Apache Junction: Ck #93138476-93138477-\$1852.84;Treasurer/Taxpayer Information: Ck #93138478-\$63163.50;Adult Prob/Community Punishmnt: Ck #93138479-\$4524.23;Juvenile Prob/Intensive: Ck #93138480-\$110.86;Juvenile Prob/Standard Prob: Ck #93138481-\$355.28;Public Works: Ck #93138482-93138498-\$33306.38;Public Wrks/Road Tax Dist 1: Ck #93138499-93138501-\$47650.67;Public Wrks/Road Tax Dist 2: Ck #93138502-\$6409.08;Public Works/Flood Management: Ck #93138503-93138504-\$153893.54;Library/District: Ck # 93138505-93138507-\$5411.39;Animal Control: Ck #93138508-93138510-\$3650.84; Animal Control/Animal Care: Ck # 93138511-\$150.00; Health/Grants: Ck #93138512-\$766.85;Home Health: Ck #93138513-93138517-\$2402.37; Long Term Care/Altcs:Ck #93138518-93138649-\$165493.68;Long Term Care/Aaa Case Mgm: Ck #93138650-93138651-\$563.20; Air Quality/Permits: Ck #93138652-93138653-\$123.61;Fairgrounds: Ck #93138654-93138657-\$1102.79;Debt Service: Ck # 93138658-\$422.22; Special Dist/Villa Grande Lite: Ck #93138659-\$719.91; Adult Prob/Dtef & Inters Case: Ck #93138660-\$4450.00;Public Wrks/Dev Roadwy Contri:Ck #93138661-93138664-\$19072.90; Clerk Of Court/lv-D Child Supp: Ck #93138665-\$184.53; Juvenile Prob/Treatment: Ck #93138666-\$741.95; Atty/Pros Svces/Cost Recovery: Ck #93138667-\$338.00;Capital Projects/Miscellaneous:Ck #93138668-\$1933.08;Juv Prob/Juvenile Justice Prog: Ck #93138669-\$234.73;Atty-Prb/Stop Violnce Agst Wmn: Ck #93138677-\$5964.00; Attorney/State Aid: Ck #93138678-\$500.00;Local Transport Assist Grant: Ck # 93138679-\$195.57;Public-Educ-Gov Access Supprt: Ck #93138680-93138681-\$258.38;Airport Economic Development: Ck #93138682-93138685-\$848.53; Pub Works/Dist 1 Transportatio: Ck # 93138686-\$80133.19;Atty/Bad Check Program Oper: Ck #93138687-\$2659.27;Atty/Anti Racketeering-State: Ck #93138688-93138692-\$2748.09;Pw/Dist 1 Kelvin Bridge: Ck #93138693-\$3317.25;Courts Enhancement Fund: Ck #93138704-93138705-\$408.19;Pw/Emergency Management: Ck #93138694-\$93.00;Sheriff's Grants: Ck #93138706-\$13804.01;Pw/Gantzel Road - Gada: Ck #93138707-93138708-\$942.39;Juv/Drug Court Program: Ck #93138709-\$65.78; Public Health District: Ck #93138710-\$72.51; Srf/Emergency Telecom: Ck #93138711-\$110.48; Public Fiduciary Client Accts: Ck #93138670-93138676-\$1971.95; Sheriff/Bond Account: Ck #93138695-93138703-\$11837.00; **Expense of 06/30/09:** General Fund: Ck #93139284-93139402-\$435389.42; Sheriff/Drug Task Force: Ck #93139403-\$9974.75; Sheriff/Jail Enhancement: Ck #93139404-93139410-\$2588.03;Sheriff/Inmate Services: Ck #93139411-93139416-\$4899.60;Attorney/lv-D Incentives: Ck #93139417-\$114.27;Courts/Drug Enforcement: Ck #93139418-\$176.18; Adult Prob/Intensive Prob Serv: Ck #93139419-\$730.98; Adult Prob/State Enhancement: Ck #93139420-\$4436.34; Adult Prob/Community Punishmnt: Ck #93139421-93139422-\$824.07; Juvenile Prob/Intensive: Ck #93139423-93139424-\$4818.97; Juvenile Prob/Casa: Ck #93139425-\$193.74; Juvenile Prob/Standard Prob: Ck #93139426-\$195.00; Juv Prob/Prob Officer In Schl: Ck #93139427-\$658.14; Public Works/Highway: Ck #93139428-93139467-\$153887.44;Public Wrks/Road Tax Dist 1: Ck #93139468-93139469-\$453583.84; Public Wrks/Road Tax Dist 2: Ck #93139470-\$1982.05; Library/District: Ck #93139471-93139479-\$20794.03; Animal Control: Ck #93139480-93139489-\$9951.37; Animal Control/Animal Care: Ck #93139490-\$50.00; Health/Grants: Ck #93139491-93139507-\$13860.13;Home Health: Ck #93139508-93139509-\$101.75; Long Term Care/Altcs: Ck #93139510-93139636-\$435323.53; Air Quality/Permits: Ck #93139637-93139641-\$1051.43;Landfill/Adeq Waste Tire Grant: Ck #93139642-\$48.25; Fairgrounds: Ck #93139643-93139644-\$4644.81; County Wide Computer Project: Ck #93139645-\$14396.87;Sheriff/Traffic Safety: Ck #93139646-\$389.03;Public Wrks/Dev Roadwy Contri: Ck #93139647-93139648-\$2431.46;Capital Projects/Miscellaneous: Ck #93139649-\$1203.35;Juv Probation/Crime Reduction: Ck #93139650-93139651-\$752.53;Juv Probation/Misc Sources: Ck #93139652-\$156.02;Jp/Cost Recovery: Ck #93139653-93139656-\$2355.95;Juv Prob/Court Improvmnt Proj: Ck #93139657-\$144.52;Juv Prob/Juvenile Justice Prog: Ck #93139658-93139659-\$2305.82; Attorney/State Aid: Ck #93139675-\$324.00; Airport Economic Development: Ck #93139676-\$375.68; Atty/Anti Racketeering-State: Ck #93139677-93139679-\$11258.44; Courts Enhancement Fund: Ck #93139715-93139719-\$3795.08; Pw/Emergency Management: Ck #93139708-\$89823.73; Sheriff's Posse: Ck #93139720-\$13340.13;Sheriff's Grants: Ck #93139721-\$450.32; Animal Control/Ak Chin Indian: Ck #93139722-\$1179.20; Public Health District: Ck #93139723-93139735-\$2838.01; Srf/Emergency Telecom: Ck #93139736-\$218.33;Public Fiduciary Client Accts: Ck #93139660-93139674-\$2486.25; Atty/Bad Check Custodial Acct: Ck #93139680-93139707-\$9886.26; Sheriff/Bond Account: Ck #93139709-93139714-\$6063.00; **Expense of 06/30/09:** General Fund: Ck #93138771-\$1869730.59; Sheriff/Drug Task Force: Ck #93138772-\$1707.76; Sheriff/Drug Smuggling: Ck #93138773-\$100.00; Sheriff/Inmate Services: Ck #93138774-\$2381.19;Attorney/Drug Prosecution: Ck # 93138775-\$6089.49; Attorney/lv-D Child Support: Ck #93138776-\$42772.89; Courts/Automated Data System: Ck #93138777-\$1545.34;Recorder/Storage: Ck #93138778-\$1836.35;Adult Prob/Intensive Prob

Serv: Ck #93138779-\$5447.54; Adult Prob/State Enhancement: Ck # 93138780-\$37483.65; Adult Prob/Community Punishmnt: Ck #93138781-\$2917.93; Adult Prob/Support: Ck #93138782-\$6829.18; Juvenile Prob/Intensive: Ck #93138783-\$11235.48; Juvenile Prob/Casa: Ck #93138784-\$1464.57; Juvenile Prob/Standard Prob: Ck # 93138785-\$10913.05; Juvenile Prob/Supervision Fees: Ck #93138786-\$848.99; Juv Prob/Prob Officer In Schl: Ck #93138787-\$5419.45; Public Works/Highway: Ck #93138788-\$163619.15; Library/ District: Ck #93138789-\$9151.08; Animal Control: Ck #93138790-\$18852.44; Health/ Grants: Ck # 93138791-\$27978.79; Home Health: Ck #93138792-\$90572.05; Long Term Care/Altcs: Ck # 93138793-\$99906.84; Long Term Care/Aaa Case Mgm: Ck #93138794-\$20878.00; Air Quality/ Permits: Ck #93138795-\$18239.69; Air Quality/Grants: Ck # 93138796-\$3212.28; Landfill/Adeq Waste Tire Grant: Ck #93138797-\$4196.81; Fairgrounds: Ck # 93138798-\$5256.42; Housing/ Conventional: Ck #93138799-\$23124.13; Sheriff/Gitem Grant: Ck # 93138800-\$3133.86; Sheriff/Traffic Safety: Ck #93138801-\$7846.92; Juvenile Prob/Victims' Rights: Ck #93138802-\$1080.39; Public Wrks/Dev Roadwy Contri: Ck #93138803-\$29905.57; Crts/Domstic Relatns Ed & Med: Ck #93138804-\$1381.33; Atty/Juv Victims Rights Implem: Ck #93138805-\$954.66; Courts/ Childrns Issues Ed Fund: Ck #93138806-\$895.61; Clerk Of Court/lv-D Child Supp: Ck # 93138807-\$11485.64; Juvenile Prob/Diversion-Intake: Ck # 93138808-\$7225.39; Juv Prob/ Diversion-Consequence: Ck #93138809-\$2412.32; Juvenile Prob/Treatment: Ck #93138810-\$5240.89; Juv Probation/Misc Sources: Ck #93138811-\$1645.77; Juv Prob/Court Improvmnt Proj: Ck #93138812-\$1220.03; Juv Prob/Juvenile Justice Prog: Ck #93138813-\$1494.49; Atty-Prb/Stop Violnce Agst Wmn: Ck #93138814-\$2097.71; Public Defendr-Atty/State Aid: Ck #93138815-\$2645.47; Attorney/State Aid: Ck #93138816-\$925.78; Local Transport Assist Grant: Ck # 93138817-\$1356.90; Courts/Local Crt Assist Ftg 5&: Ck # 93138818-\$8151.77; Airport Economic Development: Ck #93138819-\$2557.77; Atty/Cjef-Prosec Pass-Through: Ck #93138820-\$649.82; Atty/Bad Check Program Oper: Ck #93138821-\$903.47; Pw/Emergency Management: Ck #93138822-\$350.00; Cty Atty/Aata Grants: Ck # 93138823-\$2298.00; Sheriff's Grants: Ck #93138824-\$15030.44; Public Health District: Ck # 93138825-\$68549.37; Maricopa Jp/City Of Maricopa: Ck #93138826-\$1469.80; Shrf/Impound: Ck #93138827-\$895.66; * C. Request for Teresa A. Blaze, Ralph Roorda, and Deborah Kintz to be allowed to file for widow/widowers and/or disabled persons or organization Tax Exemption; * D. First Amendment to an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Town of Kearny for Animal Care & Control services; * E. First Amendment to an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Town of Florence for Animal Care & Control services; * F. Facility Staffing Agreement with Maxim Healthcare Services for nurse registry services to be provided on an as-needed basis; * G. Agreement with Cenpatico Behavioral Health of Arizona, LLC for the administration and management of housing assistance funds for adults with serious mental illnesses; * H. Amendment No. PFME 200603.04-03 with Griffith Mortuary/Superior Funeral Home for Indigent Burial services; * I. First Amendment to an Intergovernmental Agreement with the City of Coolidge for Animal Care & Control services; * J. Amendment No. 200707.01-02 with K'Engel for Occupational Therapy services; * K. Resolution No. 070109-CB-5A- accepting into the Pinal County Highway Maintenance System the streets located in Copper Basin, Unit 5A, within Section 27, T3S, R8E, within Supervisory District 2, as identified in the final plat described in said resolution and releasing financial assurances; * L. Resolution No. 070109-CB-3B accepting into the Pinal County Highway Maintenance System the streets located in Copper Basin, Unit 3B, within Sec. 27 & 28, T3S, R8E, within Supervisory District 2 as identified in the final plat described in said resolution and releasing financial assurances; * M. Resolution No. 070109-IGMIP - a Resolution authorizing the submission of the Ironwood Gantzel Median Improvement Project, located in the unincorporated area of Pinal County Supervisory District 2, for consideration in the reimbursement grant program for the Arizona Transportation Enhancement Program – Round 17 sponsored by Arizona Department of Transportation and the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration (Final grant application available upon request after 8/10/09); * N. Resolution No. 070109-KRMUP-P2 - a resolution authorizing the submission of the Kings Ranch Multi-Use Pathway (Phase 2) Project, located in the unincorporated area of Pinal County Supervisory District 2, for consideration in the reimbursement grant program for the Arizona Transportation Enhancement Program – Round 17 sponsored by Arizona Department of Transportation and the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration; * O. HAVA block grant, between Arizona Secretary of State's office and Pinal County, to commence on July 1, 2009, and terminate June 30, 2011, in the amount of \$219,989 to enhance voter registration, voter education (above the amount in the County maintenance of effort), provide poll worker training (above the amount in the County maintenance of effort), and purchase voting machines; * P. Transfer FY 2008-2009 budget appropriation from Grants/Project Contingency (fund 213, cost center 2688) to Clerk/Electronic Document

Management System (fund 183, cost center 2490) to utilize fund balance for the construction of a server room; * Q. Byrne Justice Assistance Grant Agreement, ACJC Grant Number DC-10-049, between Arizona Criminal Justice Commission and Pinal County, through the Pinal County Attorney's Office, to commence on July 1, 2009, and terminate June 30, 2010, in the amount of \$198,598 to enhance drug, gang or violent crime control efforts to deter, investigate, prosecute, adjudicate and punish drug, gang and related offenders; *R. Letter requesting a veto by Governor Jan Brewer of House Bill 2101: County Supervisors; Membership. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Zoning Cases:

SUP-014-08: William and Patricia Miller, landowners, AZCI.net, LLC, applicant, requesting approval of a Special Use Permit to construct and operate a 100 foot wireless communication facility, on a 225 square foot (15' x 15') lease area of a 10.97± acre parcel in the (GR) General Rural zone; situated in a portion of the NE ¼ of Section 1, T9S, R6E, G&SRB&M, Tax Parcel 500-40-003C (legal on file) (Located adjacent to Sunland Gin Rd and approximately 1/8 mile south of Harmon Rd.) Planning Commission voted unanimously to recommend approval of SUP-014-08 with ten (10) Stipulations.

SUP-015-08: Raymond and Jettalee Farnsworth, landowners, AZCI.net, LLC, applicant, requesting approval of a Special Use Permit to construct and operate a 100 foot wireless communication facility, on a 225 square foot (15' x 15') lease area of a 3.3± acre parcel in the (SR) Suburban Ranch zone; described as Lot 24, Silver Bell Estates, Unit 5, Book 16 of Maps, Page 60, Section 14, T10S, R6E, G&SRB&M, Tax Parcel 409-36-024 (Located adjacent to Capricorn Dr, west of Henness Rd. at Silver Bell Estates). Planning Commission voted unanimously to recommend approval of SUP-015-08 with ten (10) Stipulations.

SUP-017-97: Saddlebrooke Development Company applicant/landowner, requesting the rescission of Case SUP-017-97, a Special Use Permit granted to allow the construction and operation of a model home and sales center building complex on a 6.49± acre parcel (lots 17 through 41 of SaddleBrooke Unit 33) in the CR-3/PAD zone; described as lots 17-41 of SaddleBrooke Unit 33, Cabinet B, Slide 163, N 1/2 Section 34, T10S, R14E, G&SBB&M, Tax Parcels 305-78-017 et. al. (parcel list on file) (Located on the SW corner of the intersection of E. Mountain View Blvd. and Flower Ridge Dr. alignment, SaddleBrooke). Planning Commission voted unanimously to recommend approval of SUP-017-97.

Chairman Snider announced that the above listed cases would be heard without additional input from the applicant or public unless prior to the time the case was publically heard, the applicant, a staff member or the member of the public requested that a case be considered separately. He advised that in the event that no such request for a hearing was made the Board would vote to approve the recommendation of the Commission.

Chairman Snider asked if there were any requests from the public, staff or the Board to have a zoning case pulled from the consent agenda for a public hearing. There were none.

SUP-014-08: William and Patricia Miller, landowners, AZCI.net, LLC, applicant.

Motion was made by Supervisor Rios and seconded by Supervisor Martyn to approve SUP-014-08 with ten (10) Stipulations s recommended by the Planning Commission:

- 1) The permit is issued to AZCI.net, and not to the land;
- 2) the permit is issued for twenty (20) years from date of the Board of Supervisors approval;
- 3) the layout, design and set up of the 100 foot tall wireless (lattice) communications facility shall be as shown and set forth on the applicant's submittal documents and site plan and this shall be an unmanned telecommunication facility;
- 4) all Federal (FCC), State, County and Local rules and regulations shall be adhered to and all applicable and required submittals, plans, approvals and permits be obtained, including but not limited to planning clearance, building permits, fencing and security lighting;
- 5) at such time as technology becomes available and the wireless communication facility is no longer needed, it shall be removed from the subject property per lease agreement;

- 6) the tower will be engineer certified to bend and fold over at the top thirty (30) feet per the applicant's submittal documents;
- 7) the applicant agrees to abide by the **Wireless Communications & Cellular Telephone Towers** requirements of Pinal County which includes supplying an R. F. Engineer's certification that radiation meets FCC requirements;
- 8) the applicant shall keep the lease area free of trash, litter and debris;
- 9) the fifteen (15) foot by fifteen (15) foot lease area shall be enclosed by an eight (8) foot tall masonry block wall; and
- 10) violation of these conditions at any time may invoke revocation proceedings by the Pinal County Planning & Development Department.

Motion carried by unanimous vote.

SUP-015-08: Raymond and Jettalee Farnsworth, landowners, AZCI.net, LLC, applicant.

Motion was made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to approve SUP-015-08 with ten (10) Stipulations as recommended by the Planning and Zoning Commission:

- 10) The permit is issued to AZCI.net and not to the land;
- 11) the permit is issued for twenty (20) years from date of the Board of Supervisors approval;
- 12) the layout, design and set up of the 100 foot tall wireless (lattice) communications facility shall be as shown and set forth on the applicant's submittal documents and site plan and this shall be an unmanned telecommunication facility;
- 13) all Federal (FCC), State, County and Local rules and regulations shall be adhered to and all applicable and required submittals, plans, approvals and permits be obtained, including but not limited to planning clearance, building permits, fencing and security lighting;
- 5) at such time as technology becomes available and the wireless communication facility is no longer needed, it shall be removed from the subject property per lease agreement;
- 6) the tower will be engineer certified to bend and fold over at the top thirty (30) feet per the applicant's submittal documents;
- 7) the applicant agrees to abide by the **Wireless Communications & Cellular Telephone Towers** requirements of Pinal County which includes supplying an R. F. Engineer's certification that radiation meets FCC requirements;
- 8) the applicant shall keep the lease area free of trash, litter and debris;
- 9) the fifteen (15) foot by fifteen (15) foot lease area shall be enclosed by an eight (8) foot tall masonry block wall; and
- 10) violation of these conditions at any time may invoke revocation proceedings by the Pinal County Planning & Development Department.

Motion carried by unanimous vote.

SUP-017-97: Saddlebrooke Development Company applicant/landowner.

Motion was made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to approve SUP-017-97, the rescission of Case SUP-017-97, as recommended by the Planning and Zoning Commission. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

PZ-003-09: Public hearing and discussion/approval/disapproval: Susan E. Loosen Revocable Trust and Lotocka, LLC, landowner/applicant, CPE Consultants, LLC, representative/agent, requesting approval of a zone change from (GR) General Rural zone to (CI-2) Industrial zone on approximately 499.6± acres to plan and develop a sanitary landfill (Durham Regional Landfill) pending and in conjunction with the Board of Supervisors Industrial Use Permit (IUP) approval under Planning Case (IUP-001-09); situated in a portion of Section 35 in T8S, R10E, G&SRB&M, Tax Parcel 400-28-001 (legal on file) (approximately 4½ miles north of Park Link Drive and approximately 6 miles east of I-10). Planning Commission voted unanimously to recommend approval of PZ-003-09 with ten (10) Stipulations of Understanding.

IUP-001-09: Public hearing and discussion/approval/disapproval: Susan E. Loosen Revocable Trust and Lotocka, LLC, landowner/applicant, CPE Consultants, LLC, representative/agent, requesting approval of an Industrial Use Permit to plan and develop a sanitary landfill (Durham Regional Landfill) on 499.6± acres proposed for (CI-2) Industrial zone (PZ-003-09); situated in a portion of Section 35 in T8S, R10E, G&SRB&M, Tax Parcel 400-28-001 (legal on file) (approximately 4½ miles north of Park Link Drive and approximately 6 miles east of I-10). Planning Commission voted unanimously to recommend approval of IUP-001-09 with thirty-two (32) Stipulations.

Discussion/Approval/Disapproval of Resolution No 070109-DRL - a Resolution authorizing Pinal County to enter into a Development Agreement for the development of the Durham Regional Landfill.

Chairman Snider advised that the three above listed items (PZ-003-09, IUP-001-09 and Resolution No. 070109-DRL) would be heard and discussed simultaneously and then voted upon separately.

Steve Abraham, Planner for Planning and Development Services, gave the Board a presentation on the zoning and IUP case.

Mr. Abraham advised that zoning on the subject property is GR and is designated Industrial on our Comprehensive Plan as a result of a major comprehensive plan amendment approved by the Board of Supervisors in 2008. Mr. Abraham reviewed some of the concerns that were brought forward during the comprehensive plan hearings and how those are addressed in the applicant's proposals as well as in the stipulations and the development agreement.

Mr. Abraham advised, since the Commission hearing, staff has done additional work with the applicant on the development agreement and some of the stipulations have been amended due to clarifications and expectations.

On PZ-003-09 – stipulation #7 was modified to more clearly and accurately articulate when the CETC/Environmental Liability report will be due to the county.

On IUP-001-09 – stipulation #12 was amended to add language; stipulation #13 was amended to outline and correct the locations of the transfer station sites; stipulation #20 was amended, as was in the zone change case, to clearly and accurately articulate when the CETC/Environmental Liability report will be due to the county; stipulation #23.e was amended to include that the 4 foot wide native earth trail is no less than 2.5 and no more than 3 miles long...

Ron Asta, CPE Consultants, 378 N. Main Ave., Tucson, AZ 85701, Representatives and Engineers for this rezoning appeared before the Board.

Mr. Asta gave an overview of the proposal. Mr. Asta said last fall, Central Arizona College and the Planning Commission voted to support the plan amendment for the Durham Regional Landfill and the Board of Supervisors approved the plan amendment in December of 2008, which gave the green light for the filing of this rezoning for industrial use.

Mr. Durham reviewed the details of the proposed landfill by presenting a Power Point presentation.

Mr. Astra then reviewed the five issues that were defined by the Board and county staff for specific attention during this rezoning process:

1) FUDS.

Mr. Asta said last year ADEQ wrote that the site was clean and that no further work was required. This year the Army Corps of Engineers Project Manager wrote that headquarters had directed him to de-list the site this year and notify Congress accordingly next year. County staff has asked that the applicant secure that written communication to Congress as a condition of the IUP and the Development Agreement. Mr. Asta said they will do that.

2) Railroad Crossing.

Mr. Asta said they met with Union Pacific Railroad and exchanged plans. Union Pacific Railroad concluded in a letter to county staff that the uses are compatible and they foresee no conflicts.

3) Red Rock Interchange.

Two traffic reports were prepared by independent engineers and submitted to county staff and to ADOT. ADOT has conditionally approved the rezoning case and set forth requirements that have been made conditions of the rezoning, the IUP and the Development Agreement.

4) Effect on Infrastructure and Roads.

As a part of the PowerPoint presentation, Mr. Asta outlined the infrastructure requirements recommended by their traffic engineer, as required by ADOT and folded into the rezoning, IUP and the Development Agreement conditions by county staff. These improvements include traffic control for turning movements, adding strength to the pavement section on the frontage road and right-of-way and construction for both Pecan Road and Harmon Road. He said the traffic studies provided, at this point, were based upon assumptions and projections. For the future, ADOT and county staff have required another traffic evaluation based upon five years of real life trip generation based upon five years of actual operation. At that point they will know if the requirements placed on them are enough or whether they have to do more. In addition, Durham Regional Landfill will pay a host fee of \$1.25 to \$2.50 per ton over 50 years. It is anticipated that the host fee should generate over \$25 million in revenue to Pinal County. Plus, Durham will be responsible for the development of four transfer stations within ten years of opening all to be located in Pinal County.

5) Effect on the Environment.

Mr. Asta said they addressed 14 environmental issues over two years that were raised by Pinal County and required by ADEQ. Mr. Asta advised they have conducted studies relating to the concerns and none of the studies have turned up negative impacts from the development of this landfill.

6) Importation.

Mr. Asta said it is clear that waste has been imported into Pinal County in the past. It is equally clear that Pima and Maricopa Counties have imported waste from Pinal County. The garbage business moves freely along county boundaries, so this is really a regional issue. He said should any imported waste to Durham come from other counties in the way of importation, they will be taxed - for the implementation of host fees to Pinal County.

7) Groundwater contamination

The depth of groundwater in this area is 300 feet – they propose to excavate only to a depth of 50 feet. So, this shallow excavation together with ADEQ's requirements for aquifer protection will guard against any contamination of the groundwater that is out there today.

To conclude, Mr. Asta requested approval of the project because of the need for this project based upon growth in Southern Pinal County; it represents a good business plan for Pinal County through host fees and transfer stations; important roadway infrastructure improvements will be made at no cost to Pinal County and based upon a recognition that landfills are infrastructure too and especially necessary in the future Redrock Growth Corridor.

Chairman Snider opened the public hearings and called for comments.

Carolyn Oberholtzer, Rose Law Group, 6613 N. Scottsdale Road, Scottsdale, AZ appeared before the Board representing Walton International who owns several thousand acres in between Park Link, the Red Rock Interchanges and this site. She said they have been working with Arizona State Land Department

on their thousands of acres that intervene in between this project and the various I-10 improvement corridors. She said they appeared before the Planning Commission for the Durham Landfill case and expressed a strong concern about this project as well as a desire to have them included in the regional transportation planning efforts. Ms. Oberholtzer said, at the last minute, Rose Law Group realized this case was going before the Board as they had not been notified by anyone. She expressed concerns on transportation issues because of the level of detail on the transportation issues as well as the level they have been working with the State Land Department and the fact that she does not think that solidifying corridors through State Trust Land to get access to this site has been worked through with the State Land Department. Ms. Oberholtzer expressed concern about the lack of collaboration on how to get from this property to the Interchange.

Supervisor Martyn asked if staff has worked with State Land on these roads/corridors.

Public Works Director, Greg Stanley advised the County has met with the Applicant and State Land Right-of-Way Section to discuss these issues and we are complying with what State Land wants. He said in the Development Agreement we have what State Land Department has required and feels we have had appropriate coordination with the State Land Department.

Supervisor Rios commented that it was a little surprising to him that Rose Law Group would not have been aware of this as this case has been worked for months and months and there has been ample opportunity to address concerns.

There were no further comments on PZ-003-09 or IUP-001-09. The public hearing was closed.

When asked by Chairman Snider if the applicant agreed with the revised stipulations, Mr. Asta said they are in agreement with the stipulations.

PZ-003-09:

Motion was made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to approve PZ-003-09 with ten (10) Stipulations of Understanding, stipulation #7 being amended:

- 1) Submit copies of an independent current Traffic Impact Analysis to the Pinal County Engineer and ADOT for review and approval. Additional requirements for traffic counters and TIA will be required in accordance with a Development Agreement between Pinal County and the applicant/owner/developer;
- 2) the Drainage Plan shall provide retention for storm waters in a retention area to be maintained by the property owner. The onsite drainage plan shall be in accordance with current ADEQ Standards while the offsite drainage plan shall be per the current Pinal County Drainage Ordinance and Drainage Manual;
- 3) all roadway and infrastructure improvements shall be in accordance with current Pinal County Standards, and as recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis;
- 4) the applicant/owner/developer, at their sole cost and expense, shall purchase the necessary right-of-way from the Arizona State Land Department and purchase the necessary right-of-way from or acquire the necessary permits and provide financial assurances for development to Pinal County, for access according to the "Off-Site Access Road Alignment Study" in the applicants' submittal documents, dated May 13, 2009;
- 5) applicant agrees to provide a Drainage Report addressing Items A – K from the Section titled "Next Steps" from the revised pre-zoning submittal, professionally sealed on April 29, 2009 by Raul Pinal. The final Drainage Report will be submitted to Pinal County as part of the Improvement Plans. The report must be approved by the Pinal County Engineer prior to the receipt of the Grading Permit and Floodplain Use Permit. Please note that 2 paper copies of the report, accompanying figures/exhibits and supporting calculations, along a CD containing an electronic copy of the drainage report, calculations and figures in a PDF format and if applicable, electronic copies of any Hydrologic and Hydraulic Models (i.e. HEC-RAS, HEC-2, HEC-1, HEC-HMS) are necessary for the submittal to be considered complete;

- 6) applicant agrees that a copy of the 404 Delineation of Pecan Road/E. Harmon Rd. will be provided to Pinal County prior to the approval of the Grading Permit;
- 7) Prior to Issuance of a building permit for the project, Owner shall submit a copy of the Army Corps of Engineers' CETC/Environmental Liability report to the United States Congress and evidence that Congress has removed the Coronado Auxiliary Field #3 from the liability estimate showing no funding associated with the site;
- 8) a development agreement shall be presented to the Board of Supervisors for review and determination prior to this zoning case going to the Board of Supervisors;
- 9) approval of this zone change request will require that the applicant/owner submit and secure from the applicable and appropriate Federal, State, County and Local regulatory agencies, all required applications, plans, permits, supporting documentation and approvals and;
- 10) if applicant/owner/developer fails to meet any of the time periods set forth in the Schedule of Development below, to develop the property as a sanitary landfill and related facilities in accordance with the submitted development plan dated May 13, 2009, the Board shall schedule a public hearing to determine compliance with the Schedule of Development or to grant an extension of time or cause the Property to revert to its former zoning classification of (GR) General Rural and rescind the zoning in accordance with A.R.S. § 11 832.

Schedule of Development

Action Required	Date Completion Required
Acquire Pecan Rd. and E. Harmon Rd. Right of Way	Within 3 years from effective date of Zoning Case #PZ-003-09 and Case IUP-001-09 and Development Agreement
Prior to opening day, obtain all necessary and applicable local, county, state and federal permits, licenses and approvals for the project and its operation	Within 8 years of the effective date of Zoning Case #PZ-003-09 and Case IUP-001-09 and Development Agreement

Motion carried by unanimous vote.

IUP-001-09:

Motion was made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to approve IUP-009-09 with thirty-two (32) Stipulations, stipulation #12, #13, #20 and #23.e being amended:

- 1) Submit copies of an independent current Traffic Impact Analysis to the Pinal County Engineer and ADOT for review and approval. Additional requirements for traffic counters and TIA will be required in accordance a Development Agreement with Pinal County and the applicant/owner/developer;
- 2) the Drainage Plan shall provide retention for storm waters in a retention area to be maintained by the property owner. The onsite drainage plan shall be in accordance with current ADEQ Standards while the offsite drainage plan shall be per the current Pinal County Drainage Ordinance and Drainage Manual;
- 3) all roadway and infrastructure improvements shall be in accordance with current Pinal County Standards, and as recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis;
- 4) the applicant/owner/developer, at their sole cost and expense, shall purchase the necessary right-of-way from the Arizona State Land Department and purchase the necessary right-of-way from or acquire the necessary permits and provide financial assurances for development to Pinal County, for access according to the "Off-Site Access Road Alignment Study' in the applicants' submittal documents, dated May 13, 2009;

- 5) applicant agrees to provide a Drainage Report addressing Items A – K from the section titled “Next Steps” from the revised pre-zoning submittal, professionally sealed on April 29, 2009 by Raul Pinal. The final Drainage Report will be submitted to Pinal County as part of the Improvements Plans. The report must be approved by the Pinal County Engineer prior to the receipt of the Grading Permit and Floodplain Use Permit. Please note that 2 paper copies of the report, accompanying figures/exhibits and supporting calculations, along a CD containing an electronic copy of the drainage report, calculations and figures in a PDF format and if applicable, electronic copies of any Hydrologic and Hydraulic Models (i.e. HEC-RAS, HEC-2, HEC-1, HEC-HMS) are necessary for the submittal to be considered complete;
- 6) applicant agrees that a copy of the 404 Delineation of Pecan Road/E. Harmon Rd. will be provided to Pinal County prior to the approval of the Grading Permit;
- 7) the applicant/owner/developer, at its sole cost and expense, shall construct improvements to the Pecan Rd. intersection on Park Link Dr. in accordance with the Development Agreement;
- 8) the applicant shall improve the pavement structural section of Camino Adelante to accommodate the future truck traffic between Park Link Dr. and the Red Rock traffic interchange on I-10. The pavement structural section shall be based upon the geotech report submitted to and approved by the County Engineer;
- 9) prior to opening, a study/design of the geometry of the eastbound off-ramp terminus and the intersection of the Red Rock bridge and Camino Adelante shall be submitted to ADOT and Pinal County for review and approval. The geometry shall be evaluated for a WB-67 Design Vehicle. Any mitigations required by this Study shall be completed by the opening day;
- 10) submit a certified A.L.T.A./ACSM Survey with the Site Plan application;
- 11) a development agreement shall be presented to the Board of Supervisors for review and determination prior to the zoning case going to the Board of Supervisors;
- 12) applicant/owner/developer shall at its sole cost and expense, develop according to the preliminary Development Plan attached to the Development Agreement, which also includes the requirements under Zoning Case No. PZ-003-09 and IUP-001-09;
- 13) applicant/Owner/Developer shall obtain, establish and operate or cause to be established and/or operated enclosed transfer station sites for each of the areas of Eloy; Arizona City; Red Rock; and the Tri-Community of Oracle, San Manuel and Mammoth with operations of all sites commencing within ten years after the Opening Day of the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill and operations continuing for the remaining life of the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill;
- 14) the applicant/owner/developer shall meet the requirements of the International Fire Code, as adopted by Pinal County and administered by the Pinal County Building and Safety Department;
- 15) the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill is subject to the County’s Administrative Site Plan Review Process and Applicant/Owner/Developer shall attend Site Plan Review meeting(s) and submit the necessary documentation for review by the County of the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill’s site, including, but not limited to the site plan for the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill, an A.L.T.A. Survey and a Drainage Study prepared by the Applicant/Owner/Developer for the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill site;
- 16) applicant/owner/developer shall submit as part of the Site Plan review application a vegetative transplant plan in conjunction with a landscape plan for the irrigated fifty foot buffer yard along the perimeter of the subject site;
- 17) applicant/owner/developer, at its sole cost and expense, shall obtain all required permits and licenses and final approval of a municipal solid waste facility plan from ADEQ that will allow the Property to be used as a municipal solid waste landfill;
- 18) applicant/owner/developer shall comply with all “Conservation Measures” proposed in the “Raptor Nest Survey Report” dated 3/05/2009 and shall apply to all federally protected migratory bird habitat(s) found on the site;
- 19) applicant/owner/developer shall install and eight (8) foot high chain link fence on the northern and western perimeter boundaries and a six (6)foot high chain link fence on the southern and eastern perimeter boundaries. Fencing on the southern side shall be located outside the flood plain. Signage stating “no trespassing” in Spanish and English shall be posted at regular intervals to be determined by County staff along the entire perimeter and visible from the interior and exterior of the subject site;
- 20) Prior to Issuance of a building permit for the project, Owner shall submit a copy of the Army Corps of Engineers’ CETC/Environmental Liability report to the United States Congress and

- evidence that Congress has removed the Coronado Auxiliary Field #3 from the liability estimate showing no funding associated with the site;
- 21) applicant/owner/developer shall use a liner system and leachate collection and monitoring system, as approved by ADEQ, in the development and operation of the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill;
 - 22) no hazardous waste shall be disposed of at the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill or the transfer station sites obtained, established and operated by applicant/owner/developer;
 - 23) at the end of the Operating Life of the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill, applicant/owner/developer, at its sole cost and expense, shall submit a final closure and reclamation plan for the Property subject to approval by ADEQ and the County and following the requirements of applicable federal, state and local law for closure of municipal solid waste landfills. The closure and reclamation plan will provide for restoration of trail heads and desert vegetation in substantial compliance with the "Closure Plan" as indicated in the in applicants' submittal documents dated May 13, 2009. Applicant/Owner/Developer shall be responsible for all closure and post-closure costs and expenses. At a minimum, the closure and reclamation plan shall provide the following:
 1. For disposal berms to be re-seeded and re-vegetated with native plant material that closely resembles the surrounding desert.
 2. No longer than 180 days after the last receipt of solid waste, the Applicant/Owner/Developer shall construct, at a minimum, the following on-site improvements at the Applicant/Owner/Developer's sole cost and expense:
 - a. Two 20' x 20' covered ramadas constructed out of painted steel over a concrete pad;
 - b. parking for 40 cars constructed with materials and according to sizes approved by the County at the time of closure;
 - c. twenty (20) pull-through parking stalls constructed with materials and according to sizes approved by the County at the time of closure;
 - d. paved access, no longer than one-quarter mile from where the entrance road enters the property, to the parking areas referred to in items b and c and constructed with materials and according to sizes approved by the County at the time of closure;
 - e. 4 foot wide native earth trail, no less than 2.5 and no more than 3 miles long, some of which may be off-site. If the trail is off-site the County will obtain the access rights;
 - f. the physical locations of items a – e shall be determined by the County and communicated to the Applicant/Owner/Developer no longer than 30 days after the Applicant/Owner/Developer informs the Pinal County Planning Director, in writing, of the date of the last receipt of solid waste, and
 - g. applicant/Owner/Developer shall allow unrestricted access to all facilities in items a through e;
 - 24) for municipal solid waste delivered to the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill from any source other than during free public access days, on or before the forty-fifth day after the end of each calendar quarter during the Operating Life, Applicant/Owner/Developer shall pay County Graduated Host Fees as described in a development agreement;
 - 25) five days throughout the calendar year (once every quarter and one additional day) during the Operating Life, applicant/owner/developer shall allow County residents to deliver municipal solid waste directly to a site designated by applicant/owner/developer at the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill free of charge; municipal solid waste delivered during these free public days shall be excluded from the calculation of the Host Tipping Fees otherwise due the County under Stipulation #24;
 - 26) applicant/owner/developer shall provide to the The Arizona State Museum for approval a Data Recovery Work Plan on the archeological sites identified on the Property according to the Arizona State Museum's criteria. Applicant/Owner/Developer shall implement the Data Recovery Work Plan on the archeological sites prior to any development or operation of the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill on the archeological sites; Applicant/Owner/Developer shall submit a Class III Archeology Survey report as part of the "Site Plan Review" application and must comply with all mitigation measures recommended by the report;

- 27) applicant/Owner/Developer shall keep the Property in a neat, clean and sanitary condition, as detailed in a development agreement and work with the surrounding property owners to keep surrounding properties in a neat, clean and sanitary condition during the Operating Life of the landfill;
- 28) all construction, on-site and off-site, shall conform to the Earthmoving Activity requirements of the Pinal County Air Quality Department;
- 29) outdoor lighting shall be low pressure sodium lighting and shall be directed downward and fully shielded. The overall height of lighting fixtures (including base) shall not exceed thirty (30) feet in height above existing ground level (including base). For receiving site, the level of light trespass shall not exceed .5 footcandles as measured with the meter's sensor perpendicular to the light source at a height of five (5) feet above the ground and located five (5) feet inside the receiving property line. Outdoor lighting shall comply with the County's lighting requirements in effect at the time of development of the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill or this stipulation, whichever is more restrictive;
- 30) the permit is set for annual review beginning August 2010, with the right of entry for the Code Compliance Officer to verify compliance with stipulations;
- 31) maximum height of the landfill at close shall be ninety (90) feet as measured from existing grade and shall comply with the submitted landfill closure plan section indicated in the applicants' submittal documents. In no case shall the height exceed 2,140 feet above sea level; and
- 32) if Applicant/Owner/Developer fails to meet any of the time periods set forth in the Schedule of Development below, to develop the property as a municipal solid waste landfill and related facilities in accordance with the submitted development plan dated May 13, 2009 the Board shall schedule a public hearing to determine compliance with the Schedule of Development or to grant an extension of time or cause the Property to revert to its former zoning classification of (GR) General Rural and rescind the industrial use permit in accordance with A.R.S. § 11-832.

Schedule of Development

Action Required	Date Completion Required
Acquire Pecan Rd. and E. Harmon Rd. Right of Way	Within 3 years from effective date of Zoning Case #PZ-003-09 and Case IUP-001-09 and Development Agreement
Prior to opening day, obtain all necessary and applicable local, county, state and federal permits, licenses and approvals for the project and its operation	Within 8 years of the effective date of Zoning Case #PZ-003-09 and Case IUP-001-09 and Development Agreement

Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Discussion/Approval/Disapproval of Resolution No 070109-DRL - a Resolution authorizing Pinal County to enter into a Development Agreement for the development of the Durham Regional Landfill.

Motion was made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to approve Resolution No 070109-DRL as presented. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Work Session on Pinal County's role in countywide economic development activities.

County Manager, Terry Doolittle, discussed countywide strategic priorities for economic development.

Mr. Doolittle discussed:

- **Regional Leadership**: Pinal County will provide leadership through proactive involvement in both county-specific and regional issues (including growth, public safety, healthcare, transportation, education, environmental concerns and economic development).
- **Jobs and Economic Development**
Pinal County will work collaboratively with regional governments to promote the creation of jobs that provide a more diversified economy for sustainable communities.

Purpose of the work session today:

- **Get consensus of Pinal County's role in Economic Development**
 - Countywide benefit
 - Build on existing economic development strengths
 - Identify economic development weaknesses and address solutions

Areas of discussion covered that would be necessary to make an economic development office a county wide benefit:

- **Business friendly**
- **Financial support**
- **Web development**
- **Countywide assessment of economic development tools and resources**
- **Formalize internal operations**

Discussion continued between the Board and Mr. Doolittle as to how each one of them sees the need for economic development.

Discussion was held on the budgeted position to hire someone to assist with an economic development office. The Board can see a need for this office/position to be explored with the issue in mind that some areas of the County may need that person more than others at this point, but there will be a point in time when all districts in the county will need assistance from an economic development office. Discussion was held that this needs to be done without negatively impacting our regional aspects right now that is currently being utilized, however there are areas in the county where a person could be utilized as we do not have the regional support. Chairman Snider pointed out that there has to be something, a product such as industrial parks, land that is already zoned, etc., in an area to bring economic development in. It is better when the infrastructure is already in place and putting those things in place should involve the private sector.

Chairman Snider said it seems that it is the sense of the Board that we continue to move down this path and continue the dialog.

Mr. Doolittle advised the next step he will take will be to bring back to the Board the establishment of an Economic Development position.

The Board recessed at 11:25 a.m. and reconvened at 11:33 a.m.

Work Session, for discussion only on the proposed Comprehensive Plan with the main focus on background on issues and review and approval process.

Planning Director, Jerry Stabley, advised the purpose to today's work session was to go over the process to be followed for approval of the Comprehensive Plan.

Mr. Stabley outlined the process as follows:

July 29 Public Hearing: Chapters 1 and 2

- CAC and Commission Recommendations

August 12 Exec Session

Public Hearing: Chapter 3

- Activity Centers
- Flexible Land Use Designation

August 19 Public Hearing: Chapters 4, 5 and 6

- PAD's Meeting RSRAM
- Parklands Chart

September 2 Public Hearing : Chapter 7

- Water Supply Analysis at Zoning

September 16 Public Hearing: Chapters 8, 9 and 10

- Potential Plan Approval

The plan will go back to Planning Commission for their recommendation on any changes made by the Board and the final decision will be made by the Board.

Discussion was held on different scenarios of approval. Should the Board approve all at once at the end and only "note" changes chapter by chapter, if any; or make note of changes in which they may come to an agreement on; or make note or approve with a two to one vote should a chapter come to that as there may be issues the Board will not be able to agree on.

Todd Fitzgerald, 9666 E. Riggs Road, Ste 118, Sun Lakes, AZ 85248 was present to maintain involvement and stay current on the issues they have previously raised on the Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Fitzgerald said they would appreciate any opportunity to work with staff on the determination of the land use designation limits as it affects existing PAD developments in order to avoid an immediate amendment that would otherwise be required.

Chairman Snider encouraged Mr. Fitzgerald to address Mr. Stabley but said it is he feeling that that matter has passed as this process began in 2007.

After discussion between the Board, Staff and Deputy County Attorney's, it was summarized by County Manager Doolittle that the Board will work each chapter, if there are changes to the chapter the Board will vote to change, or not change, then all changes will be held until the very end. If there happens to be a consistency issue, they will address that as they come to a chapter or at the very end of the process and then all of those changes will be remanded back to the Planning Commission.

The Board agreed.

County Manager report. There was no report from the County Manager.

Call to Public. There was no one present who wished to address the Board.

Executive Session

12:09 p.m. – Motion was made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to recess for Executive Session for discussion of personnel matters relating to the County Manager pursuant to A.R.S. 38- 431.03(A)(1). Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Present: Chairman David Snider; Supervisor Pete Rios; Supervisor Bryan Martyn; County Manager, Terry Doolittle;

1:05 p.m. - Motion was made by Supervisor Martyn and seconded by Supervisor Rios to adjourn from executive Session. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

There being no further business the meeting stool adjourn at 1:05 p.m. The next regular meeting will be on Wednesday, July 15, 2009.

PINAL COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Sheri Cluff, Clerk of the Board